[26th August 1925

Invitation of remission applications from Tanjore mirasidars.

*453 Q.—Mr. V. PANTULU AYYAR alias VENKATARAMA AYYAR: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) the date when the District Collector of Tanjore issued general information to the district inviting remission applications from the mirasidars;

(*b*) the period within which such applications were invited;

(*c*) the number of applications received so far or within the prescribed period, if any;

(*d*) in how many cases were final orders passed and with what effect;

(*e*) whether any kist was demanded or collected from the mirasidars who had applied for remissions;

(*f*) if the answer to (*e*) be in the affirmative, the proportion of the amount so collected to the total demand;

(*g*) whether any collection was made from remission applicants after the passing of the Tanjore adjournment motion in the Council during February last;

(*h*) whether the Government communicated, after the adjournment motion in the Council, any order to the District Collector for stopping demand in the case of remission applications; if not, why not;

(*i*) the total collection made in the face of remission applications before and after the adjournment motion (separate figures for 'before' and 'after'); and

(*j*) whether Government will refund the amount collected from those entitled to remissions after final orders are passed on them, and if so, when?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) It is not the rule or practice to invite remission applications and no such invitation was issued by the Collector of Tanjore. The latest dates for the presentation of such applications are prescribed in district standing orders and dates prescribed in Tanjore district are stated below:—

Nirarambam parts.

Part of Tanjore and Mannargudi taluks and the whole of Kumbakonam and Nannilam taluks.	15th January.
Mayavaram, Shiyali, Tiruturaipundi and Negapatam taluks.	15th February.

Kadarambam parts.

Part of Tanjore and Mannargudi taluks and the whole of the Pattukkottai taluk.	15th February.

In the exceptional circumstances of last year, these dates were not adhered to under the Collector's orders and applications were freely admitted after the due date.

(*c*) Many thousands of applications were received but the Government do not know the exact number of such applications.

26th August 1925]

(*d*) The extent of remissions granted up to the dates shown is given below :—

— (1)	Up to 31st March 1925. (2)	Up to 15th April 1925. (3)	Up to 30th April 1925. (4)	Up to 15th May 1925. (5)
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Tanjore	26,913	26,913	61,540	61,540
Papanasam ..	2,635	6,000	No report.	21,233
Kumbakonam ..	5,000	11,009	18,062	26,034
Mayavaram ..	No report.	2,330	3,940	4,889
Shiyali	2,797	11,128	23,324	26,292
Nannilam	3,162	5,423	19,028	19,028
Negapatam ..	27,963	35,436	36,228	36,228
Tiruturaipundi ..	No report.	130	No report.	28,959
Mannargudi ..	5,610	5,702	No report.	6,040

—	Up to 31st May 1925. (6)	Up to 15th June 1925. (7)	Up to 30th June 1925. (8)	Up to 31st July 1925. (9)
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Tanjore	61,540	61,540	61,540	61,540
Papanasam ..	25,294	25,565	25,566	28,513
Kumbakonam ..	28,453	28,453	30,453	30,453
Mayavaram ..	9,588	18,112	30,315	63,967
Shiyali	36,867	36,867	40,284	45,643
Nannilam	19,028	24,386	25,268	25,268
Negapatam ..	87,532	87,547	87,547	87,547
Tiruturaipundi ..	28,959	34,241	34,241	34,241
Mannargudi ..	6,040	6,465	6,465	6,465

TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

(*e*), (*f*) & (*g*) No coercive processes were issued in respect of areas on which remission had been applied for until inspection had been made.

(*h*) No orders of Government were necessary in view of the action taken by the Collector.

(*i*) & (*j*) Do not arise.

Pounds and Special Fund.

Penalty for illicit grazing of goats and sheep in Somandapalle reserve, Anantapur.

* 454. *Q.*—Mr. G. Rameswara Rao: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue and the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether goats and sheep grazing illicitly in the Somandapalle reserve (Anantapur district) are charged Re. 0-4-0 per head by the pound-keeper of Penukonda, whereas they are charged only at Re 0-1-0 per head by the pound-keepers of surrounding villages;

(*b*) whether the 200 goats of N. Dasanna of Marvapalle, hamlet of Ramapuram, were charged at Re. 0-4-0 per head and similarly the animals of Ediga Rangappa of Hammanapalle;

(*c*) whether the rates prescribed for all pounds are not uniform, and if not, the reasons for the difference; and